BERG HILL GREENLEAF & RUSCITTI LLP

George V. Berg, Jr. David G. Hill Richard F. Greenleaf Giovanni M. Ruscitti

Jon N. Banashek Josh A. Marks Thomas E. Merrigan John G. Neville

Special Counsel: Neil C. King

ATTORNEYS & COUNSELORS AT LAW

1712 Pearl Street • Boulder, Colorado 80302 Tel: 303.402.1600 • Fax: 303.402.1601 bhgrlaw.com Justin C. Berg M. Neal Hanna Melissa M. Heidman Ike Krasniewicz Kathleen M. Morgan Heidi C. Potter Susan Tyrrell Richards Julie S. Schoenfeld Shawn T. Stigler Kim A. Tomey Michael H. Wussow

May 14, 2004

Via Facsimile and U.S. Mail

Mr. Robert G. Moore Vice President for Finance, Operations and Treasurer Colorado School of Mines 1500 Illinois Street Golden, Colorado 80401

Re:

CSMRI Site Cleanup

Dear Robert:

This letter explains the cause of a projected cost overrun for the CSMRI Site cleanup project and proposes a potential solution that may enable the project to be completed within budget. As you know, Colorado School of Mines (School) had prepared a report to determine the best cleanup alternative for the CSMRI Site. The report projected that approximately 10,000 cubic yards of soil would be excavated and disposed of off-site.

Two types of landfills were considered for the off-site disposal. One type is called a "solid waste" landfill. There are several cost-effective solid waste options in the Denver area. Another type is a "hazardous waste" landfill located in Idaho. Disposal in Idaho is about five times the cost of disposal in Colorado. The School's report concluded that the contaminated soil at the CSMRI Site is "solid waste" and could be safely disposed of at a solid waste landfill in the Denver area.

The conclusion was consistent with the previous disposal of solid wastes from the CSMRI Site, including the 22,000 cubic yard stockpile created by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in 1993 (the "Stockpile").

However, Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE) staff stated that disposal of all the soil from the CSMRI Site at a solid waste landfill in Colorado would <u>not</u> be allowed even with a risk assessment showing that it could be

disposed of safely. Some soil, the School was told by CDPHE staff, would have to go out of State.

The School's report had estimated that only 500 cubic yards of the 10,000 total yards would have to go to the Idaho landfill under the criteria set by CDPHE staff. At that time, the School decided to not take issue with CPDHE staff about the solid waste disposal criteria, even though the School reserved its right to do so later, because 500 yards were viewed to be a relatively small amount that was not worth arguing about within the bigger picture of cleaning up the CSMRI Site.

However, now that excavation is underway, there are an estimated 3000 cubic yards of soil, and possibly more, that need to go to Idaho under the CDPHE's solid waste disposal criteria. That creates at least a 1.5 million dollar cost overrun that the School cannot afford to pay.

The CDPHE standard for solid waste disposal for the CSMRI Site soils is more stringent than their current regulatory requirements. CDPHE staff took an unrestricted use standard and converted it into a standard for disposal at a solid waste landfill. An unrestricted use standard allows materials, like the CSMRI Site soil, to be used for any purpose, such as landscaping or growing vegetables at a home. The soil at the CSMRI Site, however, is slated for disposal in a sanitary landfill that will safely manage the small radionuclide concentrations in excess of the unrestricted use levels. It is inappropriate to apply an unrestricted use standard for the disposal of soil in a protective landfill.

CDPHE needs to adopt a more realistic standard for the disposal of the CSMRI Site soil in a landfill so that the School may attempt to complete the project within budget while simultaneously protecting public health and the environment.

Sincerely,

Asimakis (Maki) P. Iatridis

api

cc: Mr. Linn Havelick Anne Walker, Esq.