

**REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION / FEASIBILITY STUDY
AND
PROPOSED PLAN**

**COLORADO SCHOOL OF MINES RESEARCH INSTITUTE SITE
GOLDEN, CO**

May 2007

**Prepared for:
Colorado School of Mines
Golden, CO 80401**

**Prepared by:
S.M. Stoller Corporation
105 Technology Drive, Suite 190
Broomfield, Colorado 80021**

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	ES-1
1. Introduction.....	1-1
1.1 Regulatory Initiative	1-2
1.2 Purpose of Report	1-2
1.3 Overview of Activities since 2004 RI/FS	1-2
1.4 Site Description.....	1-5
1.5 Site History	1-5
1.6 Clay Pits Area History	1-7
1.7 Previous Investigations	1-7
1.8 Report Organization.....	1-8
1.9 Schedule.....	1-8
2. Physical Characteristics of Study Area.....	2-1
2.1 Surface Features and Utilities	2-1
2.2 Meteorology	2-1
2.2.1 Precipitation	2-1
2.2.2 Temperature	2-2
2.2.3 Wind Direction and Speed	2-2
2.3 Surface-Water Hydrology/Quality.....	2-3
2.4 Geology	2-3
2.4.1 Bedrock Structure	2-4
2.4.2 Bedrock Stratigraphy	2-4
2.4.3 Geologic Characteristics of the Surficial Deposits / Soils	2-5
2.4.4 Water-Bearing Units	2-8
2.5 Groundwater Hydrology	2-9
2.6 Demography, Land Use, and Water Use	2-12
2.6.1 Demography.....	2-12
2.6.2 Land Use	2-12
2.6.3 Surface-Water Uses	2-13
2.6.4 Groundwater Uses.....	2-14
2.6.5 National Historic Preservation Act Considerations	2-14
2.7 Ecology	2-14
3. Study Area Investigations	3-1
3.1 Original Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study.....	3-1
3.2 2004 Remedial Action	3-2
3.3 Study of Site Background Levels	3-3
3.4 Establishment of Tentative Site Cleanup Goals.....	3-6
3.5 2005 Bagged Soil Disposal.....	3-7
3.6 2006 Site Soil Investigation: Main Site	3-7
3.6.1 Phase 1: Initial Excavation of Known Impacted Soil	3-9
3.6.2 Phase 2: Radiological Land Survey	3-11
3.6.3 Phase 3: Instrument Bias/Correlation	3-11

3.6.4 Phase 4: Iterative Lateral and Vertical Extent Determination and Excavation.....	3-14
3.6.5 Phase 5: Confirmation Sampling and Final Status Survey	3-17
3.7 Flood Plain Investigation	3-17
3.8 Clay Pits Investigation	3-19
3.9 Groundwater Investigation.....	3-20
3.10 Air Monitoring.....	3-21
4.0 Nature and Extent of Affected Materials.....	4-1
4.1 Summary of Soil Characterization from 2004 RI/FS	4-1
4.2 Applicable Regulatory Classification	4-1
4.3 2006 Site Characterization Results	4-1
4.3.1 Nature of Impacted Soil	4-1
4.3.2 Radionuclide Extent.....	4-5
4.3.3 Metals Extent	4-7
4.3.4 CSMRI Mercury Speciation by Sequential Extraction.....	4-8
4.3.5 Trench Sample Results	4-10
4.3.6 Stockpile Sampling Results	4-10
4.3.7 Statistical Comparison of CSMRI Stockpile B Soil and Bagged Soil.....	4-14
4.4 Flood Plain Characterization.....	4-15
4.5 Clay Pits Characterization.....	4-15
4.6 Groundwater Characterization.....	4-17
5. Contaminant Fate and Transport	5-1
5.1 Potential Routes of Migration.....	5-1
5.2 Contaminant Persistence.....	5-2
5.3 Contaminant Migration.....	5-2
5.3.1 Material Migration to Groundwater.....	5-3
5.3.2 Factors Affecting Migration	5-4
5.3.3 Modeling	5-4
6. Baseline Risk Assessment.....	6-1
6.1 Human Health Evaluation.....	6-2
6.2 Exposure Assessment.....	6-3
6.3 Soil Radionuclide Risk Characterization	6-4
6.3.1 RESRAD Model Description.....	6-4
6.3.2 Critical Population Group	6-5
6.3.3 Receptor Dose/Risk Assessment.....	6-6
6.3.4 RESRAD Results	6-8
6.4 Soil Metals Risk and Toxicity Assessment.....	6-9
6.4.1 RAIS Model Description	6-10
6.4.2 Receptor Risk/Hazard Quotient Assessment	6-10
6.4.3 IEUBK Model Description	6-12
6.4.4 RAIS and IEUBK Results	6-12
6.5 Groundwater Hazard Index/Risk Assessment	6-14
6.6 Summary of Findings.....	6-14

7.	Development and Screening of Alternatives.....	7-1
7.1	Identification of Remedial Action Objectives	7-3
7.2	Identification of Treatment, Recovery, or Containment Options	7-5
7.3	Evaluation of Technologies	7-7
7.4	Generation of Alternatives.....	7-9
7.4.1	Remedial Action Alternative Screening	7-10
7.4.2	Common Alternative Elements.....	7-12
7.4.3	Alternative 1 – No-Further Action.....	7-14
7.4.4	Alternatives 4A and 4AA – Onsite solidification with engineered cap of Stockpile B, with Stockpile A being shipped offsite, or onsite solidification with engineered cap for both stockpiles	7-14
7.4.5	Alternatives 4B and 4BB – Onsite disposal cell with engineered cap of Stockpile B, with Stockpile A being shipped offsite, or onsite disposal cell with engineered cap for both stockpiles.....	7-15
7.4.6	Alternatives 5A and 5B – Offsite disposal at solid-waste landfill or combination of solid-waste and specialized landfills	7-16
7.4.7	Disposal Facility Options.....	7-17
8.	Detailed Analysis of Alternatives.....	8-1
8.1	Site Disposition ARARs	8-3
8.2	Analysis of Alternatives.....	8-5
8.2.1	Alternative 1: No-Further Action.....	8-5
8.2.2	Alternative 4A: Onsite Solidification of Stockpile B with Engineered Cap, Stockpile A shipped Offsite.....	8-7
8.2.3	Alternative 4AA: Onsite Solidification of Stockpiles A and B with Engineered Cap.....	8-11
8.2.4	Alternative 4B: Onsite Disposal of Stockpile B with Engineered Cell and Cap, Offsite Disposal of Stockpile A	8-14
8.2.5	Alternative 4BB: Onsite Disposal of both Stockpiles A and B with Engineered Cell and Cap	8-16
8.2.6	Alternative 5: 5A Offsite Disposal of All Affected Material Using One Landfill or 5B Excavation and Offsite Disposal of All Affected Material Using Two Landfills.....	8-19
8.3	Comparative Analysis of Alternatives	8-23
8.3.1	Protection of Human Health and the Environment.....	8-24
8.3.2	Compliance with ARARs	8-25
8.3.3	Long-Term Effectiveness and Permanence	8-25
8.3.4	Reduction of Toxicity, Mobility, or Volume through Treatment	8-26
8.3.5	Short-Term Effectiveness	8-26
8.3.6	Implementability	8-28
8.3.7	Cost	8-30
8.3.8	State Acceptance	8-31
8.3.9	Community Acceptance	8-31
8.4	Summary	8-32

9.	Remedy Selection	9-1
9.1	Criteria Review	9-1
9.2	Remedy Selection Process	9-1
9.3	Proposed Plan.....	9-1
9.4	Incorporation of RI/FS and Proposed Plan Comments.....	9-1
9.5	Final Remedy Selection	9-2
9.6	Record of Decision	9-2
10.	References.....	10-1

List of Tables

Table 2-1	CSMRI Anion-Cation Analytical Results
Table 3-1	Data Summary for 2004 RI/FS Soil Metals Exceedances Using Tentative Cleanup Goals
Table 3-2	Data Summary for 2004 RI/FS Alpha Activity Soil Exceedances
Table 3-3	Data Summary for 2004 RI/FS Gamma Activity Soil Exceedances
Table 3-4	Combined URS 2000 and URS 2002 Radioisotope Background Concentrations
Table 3-5	Combined URS 2000 and URS 2002 Metals Background Concentrations
Table 3-6	Tentative Site Cleanup Goals
Table 3-7	Depth and Estimated Excavation Quantity for Material above 100 pCi/g of total activity as depicted on Figure 3-5
Table 3-8	Depth and Estimated Excavation Quantity for Initial Soil Excavation as depicted on Figure 3-6
Table 3-9	Initial Correlation between Offsite Laboratory, Field Laboratory, and Field Gamma Scintillator Data
Table 3-10	Initial Metals Correlation
Table 3-11	Final Metals Correlation
Table 3-12	Flood Plain Characterization Data
Table 3-13	Effluent Concentration Standards1
Table 3-14	Air Monitoring Summary CSMRI Creekside Site Characterization June – August 2006 results in uCi/m
Table 4-1	Offsite Laboratory Radionuclide Results (pCi/g) Excavated Material
Table 4-2	Onsite Field Laboratory NaI Ra-226 Results Excavated Material
Table 4-3	Offsite Laboratory Metals Results (mg/kg) Excavated Material
Table 4-4	Field XRF Metals Results (mg/kg) Excavated Material
Table 4-5	CSMRI Radionuclide Statistics Summary
Table 4-6	CSMRI Ra-226 Statistics by Survey Unit
Table 4-7	CSMRI Metals Confirmatory Samples Statistics Summary
Table 4-8	CSMRI Metals Confirmatory Samples Statistics by Survey Unit
Table 4-9	Sequential Extraction Method Summary
Table 4-10	Sample Results ($\mu\text{g}/\text{g}$)
Table 4-11	Trench Sample Results

Table 4-12	Stockpile Sample Summary
Table 4-13	Stockpile A Laboratory Results – Radionuclides (all values in pCi/g)
Table 4-14	Stockpile B Laboratory Results – Radionuclides (all values in pCi/g)
Table 4-15	Stockpile A Laboratory Metals Results (all values in mg/kg)
Table 4-16	Stockpile B Laboratory Metals Results (all values in mg/kg)
Table 4-17	Comparison of Bagged Soil Data and Stockpile B Data
Table 4-18	Student's t-test comparison of Bagged Soil Data and Stockpile B Data
Table 4-19	Clay Pits Data Statistical Summary
Table 6-1	RESRAD Dose and Risk Predictions
Table 6-2	RAIS Risk and Hazard Index Predictions
Table 6-3	Estimated Blood Lead Concentrations
Table 6-4	Factors Used to Evaluate Baseline Risk Assessment
Table 7-1	Site DCGLs and Cleanup Levels
Table 7-2	2004 Remedial Action Alternatives
Table 7-3	2007 Remedial Action Alternatives
Table 7-4	Remedial Action Objectives
Table 7-5	CSMRI Site Disposal Options Summary
Table 8-1	ARARs for Soils, Groundwater, and Surface Water
Table 8-2	RESRAD Predictions under Alternatives 4A, 4AA, 4B, and 4BB without Radon Mitigation (i.e., with failure of institutional controls)
Table 8-3	RESRAD-Predicted Worker Doses for Excavation Activities under Alternative 4
Table 8-4	RESRAD-Predicted Worker Doses for Excavation Activities under Alternative 4
Table 8-5	RESRAD-Predicted Worker Doses for Excavation Activities under Alternative 4
Table 8-6	RESRAD-Predicted Worker Doses for Excavation Activities under Alternative 4
Table 8-7	Dose Predictions under Alternative 5 with Failure of Institutional Controls
Table 8-8	RESRAD-Predicted Worker Doses for Excavation Activities under Alternative 5
Table 8-9	Evaluation of Alternatives
Table 8-10	Factors Associated with Protection of Human Health and the Environment Criteria and ARARs Criteria
Table 8-11	Summary of RESRAD-Predicted Worker Doses for Excavation Activities
Table 8-12	Estimated Schedules
Table 8-13	CSMRI Site Disposal Options Summary
Table 8-14	Cost Information for Each Alternative
Table 8-15	Cost Information for Each Alternative including Stigma Value (in millions of dollars)

List of Figures

Figure 1-1	CSMRI Site Location Map
Figure 1-2	CSMRI Site Map showing Main Site and Clay Pits
Figure 2-1	CSMRI Site Map with Topography

- Figure 2-2 Meteorological Monitoring Location in Clear Creek Canyon
Figure 2-3 Bedrock Geologic Map of Site
Figure 2-4 Surficial Geologic Map of Site
Figure 2-5 Soil Profile
Figure 2-6 Weimer's Cross Section
Figure 2-7 Groundwater Potentiometric Surface Map – March 2007
Figure 2-8 Piper Trilinear Diagram
Figure 2-9 Surface Water Diversion
- Figure 3-1 RI/FS Borings, Test Pits, and Surface Sample Locations from 2004 RI
Figure 3-2 Extent of Metals (As, Hg, Pb, Mo, and V) above Tentative Cleanup Goals based on 2004 RI Data
Figure 3-3 Extent of Alpha-emitting Radionuclides (Th, U) above Tentative Cleanup Goals based on 2004 RI Data
Figure 3-4 Extent of Gamma-emitting Radionuclides (Ra) above Tentative Cleanup Goals based on 2004 RI Data
Figure 3-5 Areas Containing Material above 100 pCi/g Total Activity Based on 2004 RI Data
Figure 3-6 Combined Extent of all COCs and Extent of the Initial Soil Excavation Based on 2004 RI Data
Figure 3-7 Results of Initial Gamma Survey
Figure 3-8 Initial Correlation between Offsite Laboratory Ra-226 and Field Laboratory NaI
Figure 3-9 Final Rad (for all samples)
Figure 3-10 Revised Final Arsenic Correlation
Figure 3-11 Revised Final Lead Correlation for XRF less than 1000 ppm
Figure 3-12 Results of Final Gamma Surface Soil Survey
Figure 3-13 Flood Plain Sample Locations
Figure 3-14 Clay Pits Investigation Borehole Locations
- Figure 4-1 Location of the Four Survey Units
Figure 4-2 Final Ra-226 Confirmatory Sample Locations and Results
Figure 4-3 Final Arsenic Confirmatory Sample Locations and Results
Figure 4-4 Final Lead Confirmatory Sample Locations and Results
Figure 4-5 Final Mercury Confirmatory Sample Locations and Results
Figure 4-6 Final Molybdenum Confirmatory Sample Locations and Results
Figure 4-7 Final Vanadium Confirmatory Sample Locations and Results
Figure 4-8 Speciated Mercury Sample Locations
Figure 4-9 Confirmatory Trench Locations and Sample Identification
Figure 4-10 Groundwater Well Location Map
Figure 4-11 Well CSMRI-4 Historical Uranium Concentration
Figure 4-12 Well CSMRI-4 Uranium Concentration and Groundwater Elevation

List of Appendices

- Appendix A 100-Year Flood Elevation FEMA Map
Appendix B Wetlands Delineation Report
Appendix C Air Sampling Results

- Appendix D Applicable Regulatory Classification
- Appendix E Lab and Sample Results for Metals and Radionuclides at CSMRI Site
- Appendix F Approval letters from BFI and CDPHE for Disposal
- Appendix G Clay Pits Soil Analytical Results
- Appendix H Summary Tables of Quarterly Report Data for CSMRI Site
- Appendix I Additional ARARs that apply to other remedial operations, such as excavation and transportation
- Appendix J Detailed Cost Estimates
- Appendix K Letter from local realtor
- Appendix L Proposed Plan

List of Acronyms/Definitions

ALARA	As Low As Reasonably Achievable
ARAR	Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirements
ATSDR	Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry
BFI	Browning-Ferris Industries
bgs	Below Ground Surface
CDC	Centers for Disease Control
CEDE	Committed Effective Dose Equivalent
CDOT	Colorado Department of Transportation
CDPHE	Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act
CFR	U.S. Code of Federal Regulations
COC	Compound of Concern
cpm	Counts Per Minute
CSMRI	Colorado School Mines Research Institute (the Site)
CSWP	Characterization Survey Work Plan (URS Corporation)
cy	cubic yard
DCGL	Derived Concentration Guideline Level
DCGLEmc	Decision Control Guide Limit, Elevated Measurement Comparison
DCGLw	Wilcox rank-sum
DOE	U.S. Department of Energy
dpm	disintegrations per minute
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
FGS	field gamma scintillator
GPERS-II	Global Positioning Environmental Radiological Surveyor
GPS	Global Positioning System
HEAST	Health Effects Assessment Summary Tables
HI	Hazard Index (EPA)
HQ	Hazard Quotients (EPA)
IEUBK	Integrated Exposure Uptake Biokinetic Model for Lead in Children
IRIS	Integrated Risk Information System
ISOCS	In-Situ Object Counting System
LOD	level of detection
MARSSIM	Multi-Agency Radiation Survey and Site Investigation Manual
MCL	Maximum Contaminant Levels (EPA Drinking Water)
MCLG	Maximum Contaminant Level Goal
mg/kg	Milligram per Kilogram
mg/L	Milligram per Liter
mrem	Millirem – small unit of radiation dose (one thousandth of a rem)
NCP	National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan
NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
NPL	National Priority List
NRC	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
NUREG	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission publication
PbB	blood lead
pCi/g	Pico-Curies per Gram

pCi/L	Pico-Curies per Liter
ppm	parts per million
PRG	preliminary remediation goals
RA	Remedial Action
RAIS	Risk Assessment Information System
RAO	Remedial Action Objectives
RAOA	Removal Action Options Analysis
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
RESRAD	Pathway analysis computer code developed for implementing U.S. Department of Energy Residual Radioactive Material Guidelines.
RI/FS	Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study
ROD	Record of Decision
TEDE	Total Effective Dose Equivalent
UAO	Unilateral Administrative Order (from EPA)
UCL	Upper Confidence Limit
US	United States
USACE	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
VSP	Visual Sampling Plan
WL	Working Level: Any combination of short-lived radon decay products in one liter of air that will result in the ultimate emission of 1.3×10^5 MeV of potential alpha energy.
XRF	x-ray fluorescence
yr	year